

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL DESIGN-BUILD QUESTIONS
Bridge Package 17 - Contract ID 4462250 - Union County**

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

Date Received: 10/12/2023

Question No.	Category	Section	Page / Doc No.	Question/Comment	SCDOT	
					Response	Explanation
1	PIP	Hydraulics		Would SCDOT consider moving the Hydro Memos and Models from the Project Information Package to Attachment B and be utilized as the basis of design similar to Survey and Geotechnical data in Attachment B? We understand the model includes the SCDOT conceptual bridge layout, but we wanted to use the base model to evaluate design alternatives and rely on the provided hydraulic model.	No_Revision	Models will remain in Project Information Package for this project. SCDOT will elavuate this issue moving forward and adjust if warranted.
2	RFP	6	24	Per RFQ 6.6 - The stipend for this project is \$90,000. This appears to be approximately 0.16% of the construction cost based on the STIP. This is lower than the typically used 0.2% of project cost for the stipend. Additionally this project consists of 6 stand alone projects, instead of one larger project, and takes more effort to produce the required RFP documents - will SCDOT consider revising the stipend more in line with 0.2% of the total construction costs for this project?	No_Revision	No adjustment will be made to the stipend.
3	RFP	3	13	Per RFQ 3.4.5 - "The Lead Design Engineer shall have a minimum of ten years of experience and expertise, five of which are after acquiring a professional engineering registration, in managing the design of highway transportation of projects". Is the required minimum of ten years of experience and expertise to have been obtained by the date of the RFQ (10/06/2023), or by the time of the project award (05/31/2024)?	No_Revision	The minimum years of experience must be met by the time the Statement of Qualifications is submitted by the proposer.
4	RFP	3	13	Per RFQ 3.4.5 - "For the duration of the design phase, the Lead Design Engineer will attend all routine project meetings in person, be primarily dedicated to design of the Project, and be available as needed by SCDOT". For a Design-Build project that is currently in costruction and all phases of design have been completed including signed and sealed plans and reports that are released for construction will the Lead Design Engineer of previous project be eligible to be the Lead Design Engineer for this project?	No_Revision	Yes.



5	RFP	3	15	Per RFQ 3.5.1 - "Provide 2 projects for which a design services contract was executed within the last 10 calendar years that identify the previous work experience by the Lead Designer or any Major Design Sub-consultants on the Work History and Quality Form – Contractor/Designer. " A project relevant to SCDOT DB Package 17 that was originally initiated prior to 10 years from today's date but was shelved due to funding for over seven years and subsequently brought back with an executed supplement agreement within the last seven years to develop and deliver right of way and construction plans. Design for the relevant project in question was completed and plans were signed/sealed in 2021 with construction scheduled to be complete by the end of 2023. Would this project be considered acceptable under the terms of the RFQ?	No_Revision	Yes.
6	RFP	3	15	Per RFQ 3.5.1 - "Provide 2 projects for which a design services contract was executed within the last 10 calendar years that identify the previous work experience by the Lead Designer or any Major Design Sub-consultants on the Work History and Quality Form – Contractor/Designer. " Will SCDOT consider revising to, "was executed or completed within the last 10 calendar years"?	No_Revision	No revision.
7		Format	Page 23 of PDF	Under 5.2.4, the RFQ does not reference Appendix I that is referenced on 3.2.4 on page 10 of the PDF. Is this a mistaken omission?	Revision	Added to the list of appendices. Appendix I is only for teams who have applied for a Unique Entity ID that don't have one currently.
8		2.2 Scope of Work	Page 3 of PDF	Under 2.2.1 the bullet point Hydrologic/hydraulic analysis and design is listed twice. Should this be changed to something else? If so can the department provide.	Revision	The duplicate was removed.

